COS Board Member shows that an Article V Convention can result in a new Constitution

Those pushing for an Article V Convention promise that nothing can come out of a Convention *except* proposed Amendments to our existing Constitution.



But Robert P. George, a member of Mark Meckler's "<u>Convention of States" Legal Advisory Board</u>, ¹ has already co-authored a <u>NEW Constitution</u> which grants massive powers to a new fed gov't & imposes gun control with red flag confiscations!

"That's not a concern", you say, "because the phrase, 'a Convention for proposing Amendments', which appears within Article V, restricts Delegates to 'proposing Amendments', right"?

Not so! Our Declaration of Independence recognizes that a People have the "self-evident Right" "to alter or to abolish" their gov't & set up a new one.² And in *Federalist No. 40*, James Madison, a Delegate to the federal "amendments" Convention of 1787, invoked the Declaration of Independence as justification for the Delegates' ignoring their instructions to propose Amendments to the Articles of Confederation & writing a new Constitution which created a new Form of Government.³

And the new Constitution had an easier mode of ratification: it would be ratified when only 9 of the 13 States approved it; whereas amendments to the Articles of Confederation had to be approved by the Continental Congress & <u>all</u> of the 13 States. ⁴ Today, ratification of a new Constitution could be by popular vote, as in the proposed <u>Constitution for the Newstates of America</u> (Art. 12): those who *control the voting machines will determine the outcome*.

Now you see the real agenda behind the push for an Article V convention: It provides the opportunity (*under <u>the pretext of seeking amendments</u>*) to replace our existing Constitution with a new Constitution which moves us into a new system of gov't *such as* under the gun-grabbing Constitution co-authored by Robert P. George *or* The North American Union. And since it will have its own mode of ratification, it will be approved.

It's a hollow promise that ³/₄ of the States have to ratify whatever comes out of a convention.

⁴ ART. 13 of <u>the Articles of Confederation</u>.

¹ Robert P. George is also <u>a Member</u> of the Council on Foreign Relations. <u>What could possibly go wrong</u>?

² The Declaration of Independence is part of <u>the "Organic Law"</u> of our Land.

³ In <u>Federalist No. 40</u> (15th para), Madison says the Delegates knew that reform such as was set forth in the new Constitution was necessary for our peace & prosperity. They knew that sometimes great & momentous changes in established gov'ts are necessary & a rigid adherence to the old gov't takes away the "transcendent and precious right" of a people to "abolish or alter their governments as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness," ... "and it is therefore essential that such changes be instituted by some INFORMAL AND UNAUTHORIZED PROPOSITIONS, made by some patriotic and respectable citizen or number of citizens..." [caps are Madison's]