Brilliant Men Warn Against an Article V Convention

During April 1788, our 1st US Supreme Court **Chief Justice John Jay** wrote that another convention would run an "<u>extravagant risque.</u>"

In <u>Federalist No. 49</u>, **James Madison** said a convention is neither proper nor effective to restrain government when it encroaches.

In his Nov. 2, 1788 letter to <u>Turberville</u>, **Madison** said he "trembled" at the prospect of a 2nd convention; and if there were an Article V convention: "the most violent partizans", and "individuals of insidious



views" would strive to be delegates and would have "a dangerous opportunity of sapping the very foundations of the fabric" of our Country.

<u>In Federalist No. 85</u> (last para.), **Hamilton** said he "dreads" the consequences of another convention because the enemies of the Constitution want to get rid of it.

Justice Arthur Goldberg said in <u>his 1986 editorial in the Miami Herald</u> that "it cannot be denied that" the Philadelphia convention of 1787 "broke every restraint intended to limit its power and agenda," and "any attempt at limiting the agenda [at an Article V convention] would almost certainly be unenforceable."

Chief Justice Warren Burger said in his <u>June 1988 letter to Phyllis Schlafly:</u> "...there is no effective way to limit or muzzle the actions of a Constitutional Convention... After a Convention is convened, it will be too late to stop the Convention if we don't like its agenda... A new Convention could plunge our Nation into constitutional confusion and confrontation at every turn..."

Justice Scalia said on April 17, 2014 <u>at the 1:06 mark of this video</u>: "I certainly would not want a Constitutional Convention. I mean whoa. Who knows what would come out of that?"

Eminent constitutional litigators, **William Olson & Herb Titus**, warn <u>here</u> that the assurances of the "convention of states" organization are "false and reckless in the extreme." **Other jurists and scholars** have said the same: see Compendium <u>here</u>.

Yet convention supporters ridicule these warnings as "fear mongering." And they quote *law professor* Scalia *in 1979*, *before* his decades of experience as a Supreme Court Justice, to "prove" otherwise.

Ask yourself, "Is it possible that James Madison, Alexander Hamilton, Chief Justice Jay, Justice Goldberg, Chief Justice Burger, Justice Scalia, and the other eminent lawyers & jurists understand something about the plenipotentiary powers of Delegates to an Article V convention which the pro-convention lobby and sponsors haven't yet grasped?